

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Atty. Docket: HOFFMAN9

In re Application of:)	Conf. No.: 2518
)	
Arnold HOFFMAN et al.)	Art Unit: 1614
)	
Appln. No.: 10/626,326)	Examiner: J. D. Anderson
)	
Filed: June 18, 2003)	Washington, D.C.
)	
For: REDDOX THERAPY FOR TUMORS)	October 31, 2007
)	

DECLARATION WITH RESPECT TO CORRECTION OF INVENTORSHIP

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Randolph Building, Mail Stop Petition
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

The undersigned, Arnold Hoffman, Lee M. Spetner, and Michael Burke hereby declare and state as follows.

We are the named inventors of the above identified application 10/621,326.

The present application was originally filed as a continuation-in-part of application no. PCT/IL02/00051 (the PCT application) filed January 18, 2002. When that PCT application was filed it named only the undersigned Arnold Hoffman as sole inventor.

Upon review of the subject matter of the PCT application, we have realized that the inventive entity set

forth therein was incorrect as the undersigned Lee M. Spetner and Michael Burke also contributed to the invention described therein and should have been listed as co-inventors thereof. Indeed, the paper that was eventually published in the *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 211:403-7 (August 21, 2001), which manuscript was worked on at the same time that the PCT application was being prepared, lists all three of us as authors. Upon information and belief a copy of this publication is of record in this case as reference AJ.

The present application, which was filed as a continuation-in-part of the PCT application, correctly names all three of us as inventors. It is our understanding that the filing of the present application with the correct inventive entity effectively corrects the erroneous indication of inventive entity in the PCT application.

Each of the undersigned hereby further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and

In re of Appln. No. 10/626,326

that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Date

Arnold Hoffman

Date

Lee M. Spetner

Oct 31, '07
Date


Michael Burke